







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,163	06/26/2001		Michael Vito Catania	60,130-1123	2398
26096	7590	01/27/2003			
		EY & OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350				BURCH, MELODY M	
BIRMINGH	MINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3683	
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/892,163	CATANIA, MICHAEL VITO				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melody M. Burch	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shet with	h th correspondenc address				
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this	application. A proper reply to a				
	REPLY [check either a) or b))]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of this A	g date of the final rejection.					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismi	the period set forth in issal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>see number 5</u> .		-				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered vould be rejected is provided	or b)⊡ will be entered and an I below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>6 and 16</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-15 and 17-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. ☐ Other:		<i>5).</i>				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

yeu na

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: changing the limitation of "a single retainer clip solely attached to said mounting member" to --a single retainer clip attached to said mounting member-- and changing the limitation "to support said anchor pin" to --to support said pin ends-- raise new issues that require further consideration. With regard to the Majewski outlined and the pivoting axis is defined. Examiner also has interpreted the "opposite ends" as being the oppositely facing ends of the "opposite ends" of the instant invention are intended to represent opposite ends of the brake shoes from the cam actuator is more

mmB 1/17/03

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310